

In fact

For The Millions Who Want a Free Press

(No. 102) Vol. V, No. 24



September 21, 1942

George Seldes, Editor

Published every week and copyright, 1942, in the U. S. A. by IN FACT, Inc., 19 University Place, New York, N. Y. Phone AL. 4-6995.
One Dollar (52 issues) a year. Canada \$2.00 a year (Canadian money). Foreign \$3.00 a year.

➔ If Your Name Is Addressed in
Red See Page 3

Re-entered as second class matter March 12, 1941, at the post office at New York, N. Y., under the act of March 3, 1879.

News Aids Axis Line

IN radio address Sept 9 Averell Harriman attacked propaganda being spread in America to the effect British rulers did not want Second Front until Russia is knocked out. According to Washington Merry-Go-Round (Sept 9) Harriman criticizes "sub rosa propaganda here that we should give Russia just enough aid to enable her to weaken Hitler but not enough to enable her to survive as a strong force." Many newspapers carried Moscow report (Sept 10) saying there had been a "disagreement between himself (Churchill) and Stalin during their conversations" and that since "there was little prospect of an early Second Front" "there appeared to be an intensified bitterness among those Russian leaders who believe the Soviet Union is not being treated as a full-fledged Ally."

These reports indicate that the reports weeks ago of full agreement between Britain and Russia were not quite true. But NYDaily News, accused of treason by OFF in betraying US Army mobilization plan Dec 4 to Japan and Germany, and accused by Rep Holland of following the Nazi line now, takes advantage of foregoing reports to aid Hitler again. NYDN says editorially (Sept 11) of Second Front: "Stalin naturally would want it opened now; Churchill as naturally would not want it opened until there were enough American soldiers and airmen in Britain and Northern Ireland to share the burden at least equally with the British." A cartoon has Churchill saying no Second Front "until we get more Americans over here." This is nothing more than Hitler's propaganda that Britain fights only with foreign soldiers. This is not treason but a sample of the daily propaganda for Hitler in America's largest newspaper.

From the White House

OUR report of many protests to National Broadcasting, Federal Communications, etc, against continuation of biased labor baiting talks by Kaltenborn over NBC have resulted in an interesting communication. To a Ridgefield, Conn, reader who protested that Kaltenborn's Aug 19 "lying and treacherous attack upon President Roosevelt was outright treason," the following letter came from the White House:

"Many thanks for your letter of Aug 19. I want you to know that the spirit of justice and patriotism which prompted your protest is deeply appreciated." (Signed) Stephen Early, Sec'y to the President.

Fascist Book-Burning

FASCISTS of all nations burn books. Since newspapers suppress the truth,

Profits in Fascism: Laval Makes \$40,000,000.....p. 1

Britain Seethes With Discontent Over 2nd Front.....p. 2

Churchill Warned of Civil War if Inaction Continues.....p. 3

Lost: Roosevelt's \$25,000 Income Proposal.....p. 4

IN EVERY NATION in the world where there is Fascism (Nazism in Germany, clerico-corporative Fascism in Portugal, for examples) the local equivalent of the U S Chamber of Commerce and National Association of Manufacturers has underwritten the movement the way Wall St underwrites a bond issue. Fascism is run for profit. When its Big Business owners have seized all national profits they go to war for more profits. In Britain up to May 1941 when Rudolf Hess came to intrigue with the Cliveden Set, Buchmanites and Munichmen, there was still a possibility that British Fascists would win their country for the Axis. In the United States a similar Fascist outfit still exists.

In France the profits which Fascism pays its few leaders—at the expense of the many—is evident in the history of Pierre Laval, who has just crowned his services to Hitler with a forced labor decree making every Frenchman, 18 to 50, and every unmarried French woman, 21 to 35, subject to draft for Germany's slave factories.

In his youth Laval was a Social Democrat; as a lawyer he took the cases of workers and labor leaders against employers, but he did not make big money that way. He therefore deserted his party and people and sold out to Big Business. When his daughter José married the Count de Chambrun he gave her a dowry of 5,000,000 francs.

In 1934 Laval secretly sold out to Mussolini, permitting the 1935 war against Ethiopia by assuring the Duce the use of the Suez Canal. Mussolini could have been destroyed if Laval had not betrayed the League of Nations, and France.

Now, according to information which has reached Reynolds News of London, Laval has multiplied his profits and has salted away no less than ten million pounds, or \$40,000,000, thanks to collaboration with Hitler. The money has been deposited in a safe place, so that if Hitler doublecrosses him, as he fears, Laval will still have it. Most of it is in Canada, a large slice in Argentina, and the remaining portion has been deposited in two U S banks which have agencies in France. According to Reynolds some of the money was put away by trustees and some of it is in fake names, but the total is \$40,000,000.

How is the big money made in Fascism? A year ago Hitler named Prince Josiah of Waldeck-Pyrmont, one of his Fascist friends, economic gauleiter of occupied France. Waldeck-Pyrmont was already one of the richest men in Nazi Germany, but like most rich men, wanted more. He and Laval made a deal whereby the prince got control of the Vichy mineral waters, Vichy salts and other products. Laval's commission was \$4,000,000.

This Spring Laval named Louis Darquier de Pellepoix commissar for Jewish property, after a special edict had been passed. The two men and Fernand de Brinon, a French Fascist, took charge of all industries, banks and big businesses run by Jews. Intimidation and illegal methods were used to obtain millions in profits. For example, the case of Max Bloch who ran the airplane works bearing his name. He was sent to a concentration camp and stock in his company declared forfeit. Laval got half, Pellepoix the anti-Semite, and de Brinon shared the other half. Now these three men are running the Bloch Airplane Co for Hitler, working day and night, making big profits. Laval also seized the Baron Eugene de Rothschild's racing stables when the Rothschild estate was confiscated.

Louis Darquier, who faked the title of nobility "de Pellepoix" when he became a Fascist, has on his visiting cards the words "Duel fighter, anti-Semite and journalist." Like a large number of French journalists, he was bribed. He was in the pay of Otto Abetz, Hitler's agent, years before the war. There is good money in anti-Semitism as well as other forms of Fascism.

BRITAIN SEETHES WITH DISCONTENT OVER 2nd FRONT

("Russian Ambassador to London Ivan Maisky said yesterday Russian losses in the war with Germany are now 6,000 to 7,000 men a day."—from Columbia Broadcasting System, Sept 7.

(Every day that passes with six to seven thousand Russian casualties and no Second Front in Europe, rumors spread throughout Britain and America that it is part of the scheme of the British appeasers who are still powerful, and some American "patriots" who are really pro-Fascist, to destroy Russia and Germany both before any military action is taken.

(These rumors harm morale and especially American relations with Britain. The fact is that Britain "seethes with discontent against the military conduct of the war" and demands a Second Front now, against two or three hundred thousand Germans rather than face two million next spring.

(The American people do not know what Britain really thinks and wants. The American press reports official views, views of reactionary press, gives little news of opposition, liberals, labor. Sept 10 American press and radio noted Aneurin Bevan's protest in Parliament against Churchill. We present herewith extracts from Bevan's weekly Tribune which picture the "other" England, the all-out-for-victory England.)

From Aneurin Bevan's weekly London Tribune

WHAT ARE WE WAITING FOR? To what obscure sources can be traced the strange hesitancy which has fallen upon the British and American war activities? . . . Important and grim though the Egyptian theatre of war must be, it involves less than one-tenth of our total strength. . . . In the meantime there are colossal unused reserves of fighting capacity at the disposal of Britain and America distributed over different parts of the world, and almost all of them where they cannot be employed against the enemy. The enemy fights us by a concentration at the point where he wishes to obtain a decision. We answer him by dispersing our forces in such fashion that in any given engagement he outmatches us. We have considerable forces in India, in the Middle East, in Britain and Northern Ireland, all waiting presumably until Hitler can deal with them at his leisure. By this strategy—if it can be dignified by such a name—Hitler is allowed to hurl all he has at the Red Armies, and apparently he does not even bother to look over his shoulder at what we are doing or preparing to do.

Again we ask, why this hesitancy? Both Britain and America are pledged to open up a second front in Europe this year. From hard-pressed Russia come almost daily appeals to us to carry out our pledge. Soviet propaganda to the Russian people strives to nerve them to endure even more by saying that soon the Germans will have to turn to meet Americans and British in the West. It is frightening to think of the effect upon the Soviet Union if they are allowed to face their supreme ordeal alone and 1942 passes without anything being done by us to aid them. . . .

Second Front More Difficult Next Year

It is not the nature of our weapons but Russian resistance which is the primary consideration. If Russia is beaten or reduced to the status of a mere irritant beyond the Urals, then nothing we can do in the way of producing the sort of weapons which will satisfy the generals will make good the loss of Red Armies in the field. Will those who talk of the impossibility of a Second Front in Europe now, visualize what it may mean if we try to do so next year when we might have to meet the full undistracted might of the Axis war machine? We will then have to face 300 divisions—not thirty, as now. The fact must be stated in plain terms so that there shall be no doubt of the meaning; a refusal to open up a Second Front now is equal to a declaration that we cannot win the war, for there will never occur a more favorable opportunity. . . .

Thus we need a program for action now, and it must embrace every aspect of war.

In the first place the Government must give to the Soviet Union a simple unambiguous pledge that we are going to land in Europe before the autumn, in force and with all practicable speed. At the same time the country must be warned of the seriousness of the decision and of the cost involved. By the first act the Soviet Union will be encouraged to hold on and to throw all they have into the struggle, satisfied that our troops will eventually be their reserves. By the second act the people of this country and of America will be tuned to fighting pitch and so will do all that may be asked of them.

Give an undertaking to the fighting forces that they will be provided with the latest weapons and that they will be employed in the tactical methods which have proved themselves in so many fields. To this end there should be a rapid overhaul of the Army and the R.A.F., and all men who do not believe in the feasibility of the plan should be relieved of their command. Only men who believe in a job can be safely entrusted with carrying it out. Our men's lives should not be put in the hands of half-hearted leaders.

All war production should be switched wherever possible to the production of materials immediately necessary for the supreme effort this year. We cannot win the war this year with weapons which will be produced in 1943 or 1944. Just as in 1940 we had to throw everything in to win the Battle of Britain so now we

magazines and books have the field all to themselves. Fascism officially proclaims "the colossal lie" (see Mein Kampf). The Nazis burned thousands of proscribed books. Gov Talmadge (just defeated for re-election) ordered all books on evolution, adolescence and the Negro problem to be burned. In Oklahoma the native Fascisti arrested Ina and Robert Wood, Alan Shaw, Eli Jaffe, for running a book shop with many legally printed books which can be found in all civilized American libraries. Among books held in jail is Sawdust Caesar, by IN FACT's editor. League of American Writers has asked hundreds of American authors whose books are in Oklahoma prison to protest Oklahoma Fascism.

Why This Suppression?

NEWSPAPERS carrying story of arrest of Joseph Hilton Smyth, 2 others, accused by FBI of taking Japanese money to buy US magazines, named Living Age, North American Review, pro-Nazi, anti-Semitic Scribners Commentator, all now defunct, but suppressed name of Saturday Review of Literature of which Smyth was co-publisher. Among many commercial newspapers suppressing name of Saturday Review of Literature is NYTimes. Our guess is that newspapers believed Sat Review was still in hands of J P Morgan & Co. JPM, via partner Thomas Lamont, owned this publication for years, but sold it. Papers probably thought they were obliging Morgan by suppressing.

Pro-Japanese trio also ran Negro News Syndicate whose main propaganda theme was that Japanese would treat colored people well. Propaganda has made some headway in the South, in Harlem section of NYC, despite fact Japanese not only attacked Chinese but staged Nanking massacre, equalling Hitler's massacres in Poland, Russia.

Until morning of arrest Smyth's associate, W G Matheson, worked in office of Inter-American affairs. Any anti-Fascist who favored Loyalist Spain, fought Fascism for years, is refused job by various gov't agencies, but native Fascists still get and hold US posts. Deatherage, leader of Fascist Knights of White Camellia, who was fired from Navy post after exposé, turned up last week in a US Army job. Exposure again forced him out.

"E" Is for Advertising

ARMY and Navy "E" now flying over war plants and used in ads of all big corporations as award of "Excellence," is, according to confidential newsletter Space & Time [\$15] a National Ass'n of Manufacturers stunt. James Selvage, exposed in LaFollette hearings on NAM secret propaganda machine as its head, was "loaned" to US Navy. At first his E went only to plants hitting high mark, but, continues S&T, "there was a time, up until a month or so ago, when the donation of the E followed close upon the criminal indictment of the recipient. Some of our more famous industrialists, found playing ball with the Nazis, were promptly given an E on a scratch-my-back understanding. The Navy's Sec'y Knox lives off of advertising through the Chi Daily News to the tune of \$60,000 a year. Commander James G Stahlman, who handed out the E, lives off of advertising through the Nashville Banner & Tennessean [which have a bad labor

record, according to Newspaper Guild] to some similar tune. And Lieut Wm T Dewart jr, who decides who shall get the E, lives off advertising through the NY Sun [also exposed by US Treasury as tax-dodger in forming holding companies; supporter of Franco Spain; employer of native-Fascist columnist Sokolsky, who was secretly in pay of NAM]. At this stage, if you were an indicted criminal, all you had to do was to begin a big advertising campaign and the Navy sold you absolution in the form of the E."

It is pointed out that 275 E pennants were given out before the advertising-newspaper publisher outfit took charge, but in one month the latter handed out 250. "The pennants flutter through the paid ads in the magazines and newspapers." The corporations advertise, the newspaper clique in USArmy-Navy hand them E awards, and collect. This is neither treason nor corruption. It is just dirty-business-as-usual or modern journalism.

Finns and Hirohito

WHY did almost all the newspapers of America suppress the story on July 15 that President Ryti of Finland awarded the Japanese emperor the Grand Cross of the White Rose with Chains? (Brooklyn reader clipped it from Phila Record.) Finland's gov't and army rule is just as Fascist as those of Germany, Italy, Spain, Japan, but American press, which lied to American public about Finland for many years, still refuses to publish truth.

This Man Helped Hitler

WE told our readers (July 27, p 2) that we had information from the FBI that Martin Dies had dumped thousands of names on Edgar Hoover's desk and that Hoover's FBI was required by Congressional ruling to dig up the history of every person. Hoover had less than 1,000 men to do 5,000 investigations. He was after the Nazi spies. But he had to withdraw men who were protecting the lives of Americans from saboteurs, in order to supply Dies with histories of liberals, of friends of Loyalist Spain, and anti-Hitlerites whom Dies, in his hoodlum mind, had branded subversive. Sept 3 the newspapers reported that the Dep't of Justice, having found only 2 in the Dies list of 1121 open to dismissal, had decided that Dies had been wasting the FBI's time. Dies was charged by V-P Wallace with being as good as on the payroll of Goebbels. In this action of diverting the FBI from Nazi saboteurs, Dies did more for Hitler in America than any Nazi who ever landed here. Dies will be returned to Congress in Nov.

If your name is addressed in red and your code number is:

73* or 33 THIS IS YOUR LAST ISSUE
74* or 34 You will receive 1 more issue
75* or 35 You will receive 2 more issues
76* or 36 You will receive 3 more issues

* Former U. S. Week subscribers

If you are moving!

Cut out your name and address from the first page—write your NEW address next to it and mail with 5¢. Please give your code number on all correspondence.

Renew Your Sub Now!

must throw everything we have into winning the Battle of Europe. General E. Shilovsky, of the Red Army, said on July 8:

"The experience of the war shows that in order to achieve victory it is not essential to possess general superiority in strength everywhere, all the time, in all the theatres of operations. It is sufficient to have such supremacy in the most important theatre at the decisive moment of the war. This in turn requires the timely concentration of the greatest possible forces in the given strategic direction or front—forces superior to the enemy's and adequate to rout him completely."

It is this principle of Shilovsky's that we must apply to our Second Front in Europe.

In addition to all that we have said there is one more thing to do and it is of the utmost importance. The people of the European Continent must be properly prepared for their part in the struggle when it comes.

Too many of our good friends in France lost their lives rushing to the assistance of Commandos in the fond belief that the British Army was at last coming to free them. When we go next time it must be the real thing, not a piece of romanticism. Now there is one basic assumption we must make in this matter of the cooperation of the people of Europe with us, and that is that not all of them will be prepared to cooperate. There will be some French and Dutch and Belgians who not only will not help—they will help the enemy to fight us. It is quite natural that they should do so for they have committed themselves to him. Our victory might well mean their death in many cases, and to all of them the end of their dream of sumptuous servitude to their Nazi masters. Our propaganda must therefore be directed to rousing the people not only against the Nazis but also against their own Nazi-controlled Governments.

"Country Seethes With Discontent"

Thus our aim must be to stir up revolution in Europe. There are some Tories in high places in this country who are raising their hands in horror at the suggestion that we should call upon Frenchmen to fight Frenchmen. What do they think men like Laval and Doriot will do when we land in France? Won't they attempt to shoot the Frenchmen who try to aid us? These Tories, in order to dodge the revolutionary appeal which must be made to second our armies, are resurrecting a false nationalism as the basis of a patriotic appeal to rise against Hitler. Patriotism is Pétain's stock-in-trade. Revolution is ours. There are still too many Tories in high places who prefer a Nazi victory to a European revolution.

Now there is the plan. It is a victory plan. It can give us victory this year. There may be those who reject this plan. We ask them for their alternative; if you probe far enough into the hearts of the doubters you may find nothing more than the defeat which denies its name.

The country seethes with discontent against the military conduct of the war, and everywhere where men gather together one subject is fiercely debated—when are we going to back up in Europe the homeric resistance of the Soviet Union? . . . The will of the British people to fight through to victory burns even more strongly today than at any time since the outbreak of the war. . . . The national newspapers join in the controversy, and it is interesting and ominous to note that the proposal for a Second Front now is most hotly opposed in those papers nearest the (Churchill) Government. . . .

What force is it that the Germans can bring to bear on us whilst the Soviet Armies remain in the field? There are about 30 divisions including 3 armored divisions in France and the Low Countries. There are 50 infantry divisions in Germany itself. . . . On the Russian front the Germans are using about 300 divisions. . . . If the Soviet Armies are crushed and those 300 divisions are moved back to the West, how does the (pro-Churchill) Telegraph think we can then invade Europe. The fact is the Telegraph is preaching defeat. . . .

WARN INACTION MAY MEAN CIVIL WAR

As we go to press the latest issue of the London Tribune has arrived, continuing its editorial statement on the Second Front. Although not given to sensationalism, the Tribune, which speaks for labor and liberalism, says of the present grave situation:

THERE is real danger that the success of the Germans will reach the point of reducing Russia to comparative impotence in the quite near future. That point will be reached when her European industries are no longer available to her. There are those who note with eager hope that Timoshenko has managed so far to keep his armies intact. . . . He could only have accomplished this by withdrawing . . . quickly. . . . This means the loss of valuable equipment. . . .

It is reasonable to expect that Hitler will strike north after he has occupied a swathe wide enough in the South. . . . If and when this happens the Russians will have to meet the Germans on two fronts. . . . The British people are watching this drama with mounting anger at their own passivity. If the British Gov't [Churchill] does nothing in the next two months [Sept, Oct] on a scale ambitious enough to save Russia, then we shall reach a situation in this country verging on Civil War.

We sometimes wonder whether the Gov't appreciate the depths of feeling which exists everywhere on this issue. It is true that this feeling finds little

or no expression in the House of Commons. There the Gov't can rely on bloated majorities and well paid servility. The Gov't therefore feels safely cushioned against any serious attempt to defeat them. . . . In this we are convinced they are mistaken. The danger to the Gov't will come from the men and women in the war industries in Britain. They may refuse to go on working for a Gov't which will have betrayed their most deeply cherished hope. For, make no mistake about it, the USSR occupies a unique place in the affection of the workers of Britain. . . . The workers believe that the Revolution in Russia was the only thing of value that came out of the last war. . . . They will not stand idly by now and see it murdered.

We implore the members of the Gov't to take action before it is too late; especially we beg the Labor members of the Cabinet to take heed of the tide of violent rage which is seeping into the hearts of millions in this country, and which will burst into action if Russia falls without anything being done by us to help her and ourselves.

It is no use for Mr Ernest Bevin to remonstrate with those who shout for a Second Front at his meetings. He must not look to them as either Communist or Communist-inspired. That shout represents the conviction of the workers everywhere. . . .

If Russia is defeated in the absence of support from us . . . the heart will have gone out of the war for many. For the first time in the war a Peace Party might well find nourishment. . . . Hitler's propaganda to the governing castes of Britain and America would become deadly once more. . . . [We must take] action now whilst Moscow and Leningrad still stand like bastions holding out against the Brown Tide.

LOST: ROOSEVELT'S \$25,000 INCOME PROPOSAL

THE new tax bill has been getting worse and worse, from the standpoint of the small wage earner. A sales tax has apparently been averted for this year, after labor blasted the proposal, showing that it would hit the poor four times harder than the rich. But the "Victory Tax" now brought forward takes an even bigger slice from the workers' budget, while leaving the wealthy unaffected as far as living expenses go. In devising the "Victory Tax," which is supposed to yield some three billion dollars, the Senate Finance Committee shifted onto the workers the burden it had refused to place on those able to bear it—three billion dollars in taxes proposed by the Treasury and cut out by Congress. These proposals included: higher excess profits taxes, a maximum net income of \$25,000 after all taxes; eliminating the depletion loophole of oil and mining trusts; ending exemption on federal, state and municipal bonds and securities; higher estate and gift taxes.

The proposal that struck the imagination of the country hardest—and that got the shortest shrift of all, both in Congress and the press—was President Roosevelt's request that no individual retain a net income of more than \$25,000 a year, after all taxes are paid. The House committee threw this proposal out almost before the press had time to open a barrage on it. On Labor Day Roosevelt again urged the \$25,000 plan, and this time the Senate Finance Committee, headed by George of Georgia, took just three days to kill it.

With reactionaries in control of the key Congressional committees, it did not require any prodding by the press to doom the \$25,000 proposal. The press, in fact, has kept the plan as far in the background as possible. Drew Pearson's column Sept 8 told how this works. Roosevelt, in a conference with James Patton, head of the National Farmers Union, in discussing the \$25,000 top income plan, cited the case of an Englishman who had come to see him and told him his annual income was \$500,000 but that after paying taxes he had \$22,000 left and was glad to have that much. Pearson continues:

"You can tell that story to the newspaper men when you go out," the President added.

Later, Roosevelt called Patton to the White House again, this time with the heads of the Farm Bureau, the Grange, and other farm leaders.


"What happened to that story I told you about the Englishman and his taxes?" the President asked. "I didn't see it in the newspapers."

"I gave it to the press, on the doorstep of the White House," Patton replied, "and also told them about the spread between industrial profits and farm-labor profits. But as far as I could see only two newspapers carried it."

Instead, the press printed such arguments as the Wall Street Journal's, that holding the wealthy down to a net income of \$25,000 per person would mean a decrease in contributions to colleges; and the NY Herald Tribune's long story about the disaster that would come to Park Avenue realtors because few would be able to afford \$1,000 a month apartments. The plight of butlers and assistant gardeners who would be cast adrift was also stressed.

There is one case at least on record of a wealthy man agreeing with FDR. The United Press reports from Minneapolis, Sept 13, that John B Hawley, jr, pres Northern Pump Co, wrote Roosevelt he was cutting his salary from \$448,000 last year to a net of \$25,000 this year. "Your recent recommendation that salaries should be limited to \$25,000 per year after taxes in the best interest of the war effort is reasonable," Hawley wrote the President. (The commercial press made this item mighty hard to find.)

YOURS FREE! Send in 5 subs and/or Renewals



"THE FACTS ARE . . ." is George Seldes' greatest book on the press and radio. It is an arsenal of facts (fully documented) that every IN FACT reader will need to do a commando job on the sixth column press and the American Quislings who spread hate and disunity against Labor and the American people. **THIS BOOK IS OF PARTICULAR IMPORTANCE TODAY FOR IT TELLS YOU HOW TO READ AND UNDERSTAND THE WAR NEWS.**

Below are the chapter headings of "THE FACTS ARE . . ."

Part 1

IS THE ENTIRE PRESS CORRUPT?

- 1 The Greatest Power in War and Peace
- 2 From Washington to F.D.R.
- 3 Can You Fool All the People All the Time?
- 4 Chief Victim of the Press: Labor
- 5 Agency of Press Corruption: Advertising

Part 2

HOW TO READ THE WAR NEWS

- 1 Wars Before Now
- 2 How to Defeat Propaganda
- 3 Can You Trust the Military Experts?
- 4 Can You Trust the War Correspondents?
- 5 Can You Believe Atrocity Stories?
- 6 How to Read the Editorial Page
- 7 Can You Trust the Radio?

Part 3

THE PEOPLE vs THE PRESS AND RADIO

- 1 To Sum Up
- 2 What Are You Going to Do About It?

IN FACT, 19 University Place
New York, N. Y.

Here is \$5—☐ check ☐ M.O. (do not send cash)—Send me "THE FACTS ARE . . ."

- ☐ For the subscriptions listed below.
☐ For 5 prepaid subscription cards that I will resell.

Name

Address

Name

Address

Name

Address

Name

Address

Name

Address

Sample Copies: 100-\$1; 500-\$3; 1000-\$5
Bound Volumes (III and IV)—\$2 each